Estimating Orifice Meter Flow Prediction Bias with Internal Diagnostics

Richard Steven DP Diagnostics



MONITOR, VERIFY, AND TRUST YOUR DP METER

Introduction

- Industry is used to flow meter diagnostic systems.
- State of the art isn't 'can you tell if *something is* wrong?', but 'can you identify *what* is wrong?'
- But as yet there is little development on also predicting the associated flow prediction bias.
- Why? That would obviously be useful.
- Achievable? Too complicated? A fools errand?
- Let's look at orifice meter diagnostics...



Diagnostic Pattern Recognition



◆ DPt & DPppl ▲ DPr & DPppl
■ DPt & DPr
● DPt & DPr
● DPt & DPt, inf

Can You Also Predict the Associated Flow Bias?

- In many malfunction cases: **YES**.
- 1. Use pattern recognition to identify the specific malfunction.
- 2. Select an **<u>objective diagnostic</u>** check to quantify the physical magnitude of that malfunction.
- Apply this quantified magnitude to a known maths relationship between the malfunction's magnitude & flow bias.

An 'objective' diagnostic check!?

The Nature of Diagnostic Tests

- To learn more <u>from</u> diagnostic suites we first need to learn more <u>about</u> diagnostic suites.
- There are two distinct types of diagnostic tests:
 - Objective diagnostic: from <u>comparison with</u> physical law, fixed baseline, produce a <u>quantitative result</u>.
 - Subjective diagnostic: not from physical law, but experience / opinion / rule of thumb, no fixed baseline thereby producing a <u>qualitative result</u>.
- Only objective diagnostics can *quantify* malfunction magnitudes and flow prediction biases.



The Method...

Once the diagnostic pattern identifies a specific malfunction, and an objective check is selected:



Example 1: DP Reading Bias



1. Pattern : -ve DP_t error

- 2. Objective diagnostic x_4 :
- 3. Magnitude:

 $\Delta DP_{t,error} = \Delta DP_{t,read} - (DP_r + DP_{PPL})$

4. Flow bias: $p\% = f(\Delta DP_{t,error})$









<u>Conclusions</u>

- It's possible to develop flow meter diagnostics to:
 - See a problem exists
 - Identify or short list many specific problems
 - Use *objective* diagnostic results to quantify that specific problem, and from there
 - Predict the associated flow prediction bias.
- The DP meter method won't work for *all* problems *all* of the time, but it works for *most* common problems *most* of the time.
- Such an orifice, Venturi and cone meter system is in advanced development.

Thank You Questions?



MONITOR, VERIFY, AND TRUST YOUR DP METER

<u>How Do You Know the Problem Isn't a</u> <u>Combination of Multiple Malfunctions!?</u>

• You don't. Get over it. Most times it's single source.



Occam's Razor: No more things should be presumed to exist than are absolutely necessary, i.e., the fewer assumptions an explanation of a phenomenon depends on, the better the explanation.

(William of Occam)

<u>A Final Word on Flow Meter Diagnostics</u>

- Flow prediction: very high standard, usually '2σ', say 'beyond reasonable doubt'.
- Diagnostics: best effort, 'balance of probabilities', say 'the preponderance of evidence', i.e. choosing the possibility that is more probable than the other.
- Diagnostic systems are not perfect. But a technology does not need to work perfectly all of the time to be of practical use most of the time.

<u>Can</u>:

- DP transmitter issues (saturated, drift, bad cal)
- Blocked impulse line
- Backwards plate
- Worn edge
- Buckled plate
- Wrong geometry (Inlet & orifice bore)
- Wet gas

Cannnot yet:

- Disturbed flow
- Contamination
- Partially blocked orifice